```
1
 2
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 3
                         DISTRICT OF OREGON
                          PORTLAND DIVISION
 4
 5
 6
     KELLY CAHILL, SARA
                                  )
     JOHNSTON, LINDSAY
     ELIZABETH, and HEATHER
 7
     HENDER, individually and
     on behalf of others
 8
     similarly situated,
 9
                  Plaintiffs,
10
                                     Case No. 3:18-cv-01477-JR
         VS.
11
     NIKE, INC., an Oregon
12
     Corporation,
                 Defendant.
13
14
           REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF EMILY TUCKER
15
16
                          Portland, Oregon
17
                      Friday, January 22, 2021
18
19
                REPORTED BY: Dayna Michelle Glaysher
20
                              CSR No. 13079;
                              RPR, CRR No. 28081
21
22
23
24
25
                                                     Page 1
```

1	accurate?					
2	A. Uh-huh, yes.					
3	Q. I just need a verbal answer.					
4	A. I didn't hear you.					
5	Q. Oh, I just need a verbal answer. I only saw you 11:04:43					
6	shaking your head.					
7	A. Yes.					
8	Q. Okay. Thanks.					
9	And then you returned to Nike in December of 2005					
10	as account services manager, U.S. Customer Service	11:04:56				
11	Department; is that accurate?					
12	A. Yes.					
13	Q. Okay. And was that role back in Oregon?					
14	A. Yes.					
15	Q. Were your first two roles with Nike account	11:05:05				
16	representative and account executive were those in					
17	Oregon as well?					
18	A. Yes.					
19	Q. Okay. Why did you decide to come back to Nike?					
20	A. I so it was a you know, it was a nice place	11:05:18				
21	to work. I enjoyed my coworkers. The work was					
22	challenging. And and so I knew that that was an					
23	environment I wanted to go back to.					
24	Q. Okay. And was your supervisor when you returned					
25	an individual by the name of Gorgon Barrett?	11:05:44				
		Page 70				

Case 3:18-cv-01477-JR Document 180-6 Filed 03/25/22 Page 3 of 6

1	zone. I recall a slightly different number actually.					
2	Q. Okay. I'll see if I can find any any					
3	document. We'll come back to that.					
4	Do you know how did you have any conversations					
5	about your compensation before you were hired at Nike in	11:07:03				
6	the account services manager role?					
7	A. Did I have any conversations about compensation					
8	with like with the hiring manager?					
9	Q. Right. With anyone at Nike.					
10	A. Yes.	11:07:23				
11	Q. And who did you speak with whom did you speak?					
12	A. That would've been Gordon.					
13	Q. Okay. And what conversations did you and Gordon					
14	have about your compensation?					
15	A. I recall him making an offer. And I trying to	11:07:33				
16	negotiate a higher number. And his response was that					
17	there was a very extreme time constraint on his end as					
18	relating to he needed to make and get an accepted offer					
19	in the moment, and that he couldn't negotiate.					
20	Q. Okay. And do you know how your starting salary	11:08:12				
21	was set, what factors the company considered?					
22	A. I don't.					
23	Q. Okay. Do you recall your CFE rating in 2006 and					
24	2007?					
25	A. I mean I could guess. Not specifically.	11:08:40				
		Page 72				

1	CERTIFICATE
2	OF
3	CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
4	
5	
6	The undersigned certified shorthand reporter
7	of the State of California does hereby certify:
8	That the foregoing deposition was taken
9	before me at the time and place therein set forth, at
10	which time the witness was duly sworn by me.
11	That the testimony of the witness and all
12	objections made at the time of the deposition were
13	recorded stenographically by me and thereafter
14	transcribed, said transcript being a true copy of my
15	shorthand notes thereof.
16	In witness whereof, I have subscribed my
17	name this date: February 4, 2021
18	
19	
20	Danna Douxter
21	
22	CSR Number 13079
23	RPR, CRR Number 28081
24	
25	
	Page 186

Cahill v. Nike

No. 3:18-cv-01477-JR (D. Or.)

Deposition Date: January 22, 2021

Deponent: Emily Tucker

Page	Line(s)	Reads	Should Read	Reason	
28	12	Kavanagh	Cavanaugh	To correct spelling error	
30	1	immanence	imminent	To correct transcription error	
36	1	Michelle	Michele	To correct spelling error	
36	7	Shafbow	Schafbuch	To correct spelling error	
36	7	Dooby	Dube	To correct spelling error	
36	7	Tanya	Tonia	To correct spelling error	
38	5	Michelle	Michele	To correct spelling error	
39	11	Kenny	Kenney	To correct spelling error	
53	3	P-h-i-l-l-i-p-i, I believe.	P-h-i-l-i-p-p-i, I believe.	To correct spelling error	
53	8	Suiten	Sutin	To correct spelling error	
60	22	back sell	back fill	To correct transcription error	
65	11	Nike values perspective	Nike VALUES band perspective	To clarify testimony	
67	7	Birch	Burch	To correct spelling error	
68	2	Birch	Burch	To correct spelling error	
74	8	My role was to to oversight	My role was to – provide oversight	To clarify testimony	
77	12	Haightman	Hapeman	To correct transcription error	
83	19	Yes	Yes, I was	To clarify testimony	
			involved in the decision.	To clarify commeny	
93	3	Kavanagh	Cavanaugh	To correct spelling error	
94	14	Palo Polo	Paolo Polla	To correct spelling error	
97	23	Piestrip	Peistrup	To correct spelling error	
100	11-12	It was below the minimum.	I am 90% sure it was below the minimum.	To clarify testimony.	
101	19	Palo Polo	Paolo Polla	To correct spelling error	
126	2	No	No, I did not make hiring decisions, just recommendations	To provide complete answer to question	
126	5	No	No, I did not make pay decisions, just recommendations	To provide complete answer to question	

126	8	No	No, I did not	To provide complete answer to
			make CFE	question
			decisions, just	
			recommendations	
126	14	No	No, I did not	To provide complete answer to
			make promotion	question
			decisions	
126	19	Ryan	Bryan	To correct transcription error
126	22	Binser	Binzer	To correct spelling error
129	19	Maggie Winkler	Maggie Winkel	To correct transcription error
129	19	Michelle	Michele	To correct spelling error
132	17	Kenny	Kenney	To correct spelling error
136	3-4	and include that	that was for his	To correct transcription error
		was for his	direct reports and	
		direct reports	not include me	
160	4	Scarmetto	Scarmato	To correct spelling error
160	5	Scarmetto	Scarmato	To correct spelling error
166	4	rule	role	To correct transcription error
166	16	Michelle	Michele	To correct spelling error
171	9	Yes, hiring	Not hiring	To clarify testimony
		recommendations,	decisions. Hiring	_
		yes.	recommendations,	
			yes.	
181	12	Michelle	Michele	To correct spelling error

Subject to the above changes, I declare under the penalties of perjury of the laws of the United States that my deposition transcript is true and correct.

Executed on	3/4/2021	in	Portland,	OR	